# 浙江大学 2012-2013 学年 秋冬 学期

# 《计算理论》课程期末考试试卷答案

课程号: <u>21120520</u> 开课学院: <u>计算机学院</u>
考试试卷: ☑ A卷 □ B卷
考试形式: ☑ 闭卷 □ 开卷,允许带 \_\_\_\_\_入场
考试日期: <u>2013</u> 年<u>1</u>月<u>18</u>日,考试时间: <u>120</u>分钟

学号

#### 诚信考试,沉着应考,杜绝违纪

考生姓名

所属院系

		-					
题序	1	2	3	4	5	6	总分
得分							
评卷人							

### Zhejiang University Theory of Computation, Fall-Winter 2012 Final Exam(Solution)

- 1. (24%) Determine whether the following statements are true or false. If it is true fill a  $\bigcirc$  otherwise a  $\times$  in the bracket before the statement.
  - (a) ( ) Language  $\{xyz \mid x, y, z \in \{a, b\}^* \text{ and } x = z^R \text{ and } |x| \ge 1\}$  is regular.
  - (b) ( $\bigcirc$ ) Let A and B be two regular languages, then  $A \oplus B$  is also regular, where  $A \oplus B = (A B) \cup (B A)$ .
  - (c) ( $\times$ ) Just as Turing Machine's encoding, every DFA M can also be encoded as strings "M", then the language {"M" | DFA M rejects "M" } is regular.
  - (d) ( × ) Language  $\{a^m b^n c^k d^l | m, n, k, l \in \mathbb{N}, m \ge l \text{ and } n \le k\}$  is not context free.
  - (e) (×) For languages  $L_1, L_2$  and  $L_3$ , if  $L_1 \subseteq L_2 \subseteq L_3$  and both  $L_1$  and  $L_3$  are context free, then  $L_2$  is also context free.
  - (f) (  $\times$  ) k-tapes Turing Machines can decide more languages than 1-tape Turing Machines.
  - (g) ( $\bigcirc$ ) Language {"M" | Turing machine M halts on at least 2013 inputs} is recursively enumerable, but not recursive.
  - (h) ( $\bigcirc$ ) The set of all primitive recursive functions is a proper subset of the set of all recursive functions.
  - (i) ( ) There exists a language L such that L is recursively enumerable, and L is recursive.
  - (j) (  $\times$  ) Language {"M" | Turing machine M does not halt on "M"} is recursively enumerable.
  - (k) (  $\bigcirc$  ) The recursively enumerable languages are closed under intersection, but not closed under complement.
  - (1) ( $\bigcirc$ ) There are countably many Turing machines, and uncountably many languages, so most languages are not recursively enumerable.

- 2. (20%) Decide whether the following languages are regular or not and provide a formal proof for your answer.
  - (a)  $L_1 = \{a^m b^n c^k \mid m, n, k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } m \neq n+k \}.$
  - (b)  $L_2 = \{a^m b^n c^k \mid m, n, k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } (m \neq (n+k)) \mod 2 \}.$

#### Solution:

(a)  $L_1$  is not regular.  $\cdots 5$  pt

Assume  $L_1$  is regular, then  $\overline{L_1}$  is also regular, therefore so is  $\overline{L_1} \cap a^*b^*$ . Let n be the constant whose existence the pumping theorem guarantees.

- Choose string  $w = a^n b^n \in \overline{L_1} \cap a^* b^*$ , where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $n \ge 1$ . So the pumping theorem must hold.

- Let w = xyz such that  $|xy| \le n$  and  $y \ne e$ , then  $y = a^i$  where i > 0. But then  $xz = a^{n-i}b^n \notin \overline{L_1} \cap a^*b^*$ .

The theorem fails, therefore  $\overline{L_1} \cap a^*b^*$  is not regular, hence  $L_1$  is not regular. ..... 5pt

(b)  $L_2$  is regular. ..... 5pt Since  $L_2$  can be represented by the following regular expression:

 $(aa)^*((bb)^*b(cc)^* \cup (bb)^*(cc)^*c) \cup (aa)^*a((bb)^*b(cc)^*c \cup (bb)^*(cc)^*).$ 

 $\cdots 5 pt$ 

- 3. (20%) Let  $\Sigma = \{a, b, c\}$ . Let  $L_3 = \{w | w \in \{a, b, c\}^*, \#_b(w) = \#_c(w)\}$ . Where  $\#_z(w)$  is the number of appearances of the character z in w. For example, the string  $x = baccabcbcb \in L_3$ , since  $\#_b(x) = \#_c(x) = 4$ . Similarly, the string  $x = abcaba \notin L_3$ , since  $\#_b(x) = 2$  and  $\#_c(x) = 1$ .
  - (a) Construct a context-free grammar that generates the language  $L_3$ .
  - (b) Construct a pushdown automata that accepts  $L_3$ .

**Solution:** (a) The CFG for  $L_3$  is  $G = (V, \Sigma, S, R)$ , where  $V = \{S, A, a, b, c\}$ ,  $\Sigma = \{a, b, c\}$ , and  $\cdots 3pt$ 

$$R = \{S \to bSc|cSb|SS|AS|e, A \to aA|e\}.$$

 $\cdots \cdots 7pt$ 

(b) The PDA  $M = (K, \Sigma, \Gamma, \Delta, s, F)$  is defined below:

	$(q,\sigma,eta)$	$(\ p,\gamma \ )$
$K = \{n \mid a\}$	(p, e, e)	(q,S)
$(\underline{p}, \underline{q})$	(q, e, S)	(q, aSb)
$\Sigma - \{a, b, c\}$	(q, e, S)	(q, bSa)
$\Delta = [a, b, c]$	(q, e, S)	(q,SS)
$\Gamma - \{S A a b c\}$	(q, e, S)	(q, AS)
$\mathbf{I} = [\underline{b}, \underline{I}, \underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{c}]$	(q, e, S)	(q,e)
e - n	(q, e, A)	(q, Aa)
$s - \underline{p}$	(q, e, A)	(q,e)
$F = \{a\}$	(q, e, a)	(q,a)
$\Gamma = \underline{\chi q f}$	(q, e, b)	(q,b)
	(q, e, c)	(q,c)

#### ····· 10**pt**

4. (20%) The function  $\varphi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  given by

$$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } x < 8\\ 4x + 1, & \text{if } x \ge 8 \end{cases}$$

- (a) Try to construct a Turing Machine to compute the function  $\varphi(x)$ . When describing the Turing machines, you can use the elementary Turing machines described in textbook. Always assume that the Turing machines start computation from the configuration  $\bowtie x$  where x is represented by binary string, i.e.  $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$ .
- (b) Show that the function  $\varphi(x)$  is primitive recursive.

#### Solution:

(a) We can design the following Turing Machine to compute  $\varphi(x)$ :

 $\cdots \cdots 10$ pt

(b) Since  $\varphi(x)$  can be expressed by

$$\varphi(x) = (x < 8) \cdot x + (1 \sim (x < 8)) \cdot (4x + 1)$$

where x < 8 is a primitive recursive predicate and x and 4x + 1 are primitive recursive, therefore so is  $\varphi(x)$ .

 $\cdots 10 \mathbf{pt}$ 

5. (16%) Let

 $L_4 = \{ "M_1" "M_2" | M_1 \text{ and } M_2 \text{ are TMs, both } M_1 \text{ and } M_2 \text{ halt on the string } ab \}.$ 

- (a) Show that  $L_4$  is recursively enumerable. An informal description suffices.
- (b) Show that  $L_4$  is not recursive.

## Solution:

- (a) On input " $M_1$ " " $M_2$ ", we can use Universal Turing machine to simulate both  $M_1$ and  $M_2$  on the string ab. If both  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  halt then we halt and " $M_1$ " " $M_2$ "  $\in$  $L_4$ , otherwise continuous to simulation and the Universal Turing machine Ualways check the halting computation of  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  on string ab. Hence,  $L_4$  is recursively enumerable.  $\cdots 10$  pt
- (b) We show that if there were an algorithm for  $L_4$ , then there would be an algorithm for solving the unsolvable halting problem  $H = \{ "M" | M \text{ halts on } e \}$ . Assume  $L_4$  is decidable. Then, there exists a TM T that decides  $L_4$ . We can construct  $T_H$  that decides H using T:  $T_H("M")$ :

 Construct TM M<sub>1</sub>: Input y, if y ≠ ab reject; otherwise, simulate M on e, and if M halts on e, accept.
 Construct TM M<sub>2</sub>: Input y, if y ≠ ab and y ≠ e reject; otherwise, simulate M on e, and if M halts on e, accept.
 Simulate T on "M<sub>1</sub>" "M<sub>2</sub>".
 If T accepts "M<sub>1</sub>" "M<sub>2</sub>", accept.
 If T rejects "M<sub>1</sub>" "M<sub>2</sub>", reject.
 Then L(M<sub>1</sub>) = {ab} if M halts on e; L(M<sub>1</sub>) = Ø otherwise. L(M<sub>2</sub>) = {e, ab} if M halts on e; L(M<sub>2</sub>) = Ø otherwise.
 This correctly decides H. If"M" ∈ H, then M halts on e, then L(M<sub>1</sub>) = {ab}, and L(M<sub>2</sub>) = {e, ab}, hence both M<sub>1</sub> and M<sub>2</sub> halt on ab, so T accepts "M<sub>1</sub>"" M<sub>2</sub>" and then T<sub>H</sub> accepts "M" in step 4. If "M" ∉ H, then M does not halt on e, then neither M<sub>1</sub> nor M<sub>2</sub> halts on ab. So, T rejects "M<sub>1</sub>""M<sub>2</sub>" and then T<sub>H</sub> rejects in step 5.
 But the halting language H is known to be undecidable, this is a contradiction.

But the halting language H is known to be undecidable, this is a contradiction. Thus our assumption that there was a machine T deciding  $L_4$  must have been incorrect. There is no machine deciding  $L_4$ .  $L_4$  is not recursive.

 $\cdots 6 \mathbf{pt}$ 

Enjoy your Spring Festival!